

#### NEW-OLD REFRIGERANT

# Carbon Dioxide for Industrial Refrigeration

By Donald L. Fenton, Ph.d., P.e., Life Member Ashrae | ASHRAE JOURNAL, ashrae.org



# ASHRAE [CO2's Physical Characteristics . 5](#page-4-0) [CO2 Vapor Compression Cycles. . 11](#page-10-0)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as a Secondary Coolant  $\ldots \ldots \ldots$  17 [Conclusions. . 19](#page-18-0) [References. . 19](#page-18-0)

GmbH

HERMETIC-Pumpen HERMETIC – Your Partner in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  Business for More Than 50 Years....... 20

Carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  is an old refrigerant, first patented in the United Kingdom in 1850 by Alexander Twinning, where it was subsequently used in air-conditioning and marine applications. With the development of the CFC, HCFC, and HFC synthetic refrigerants beginning around 1930,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  decreased in popularity, all but disappearing by 1960. Over the next two decades or so, it was experimentally determined that CFC and HCFC refrigerants were depleting the earth's upper atmospheric ozone layer at a rate that would likely cause its disappearance.<sup>1</sup>

In response to these findings, many countries agreed to halt the production of these CFC and HCFC refrigerants within an appropriate time frame, allowing the ozone layer to replenish. This agreement is called the Montreal Protocol where its second and fourth amendments concern CFCs and HCFCs.2,3 At this same time, Lorentzen4,5 proposed  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as an alternative refrigerant for the CFC and HCFC refrigerants. After  $CO<sub>2</sub>'s$  reintroduction, applications first appeared with mobile air conditioning and heat pump water heaters. Other applications soon followed, which include  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as a heat transfer fluid, lowtemperature refrigerant in a cascade refrigeration system, or as the sole refrigerant. In North America, more than 150 supermarket transcritical and other  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  systems are in operation,<sup>6</sup> and over 70  $NH<sub>3</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub>$  industrial subcritical cascade systems operating with many being planned.<sup>7</sup>

Transcritical refrigeration cycles involve  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gas cooling (rather than condensation for heat rejection) at conditions above the refrigerant's critical point where the refrigerant is called a "supercritical fluid" and behaves as a dense gas.<sup>8</sup> In contrast, subcritical refrigeration systems operate at conditions below the critical point where phase change, condensation, occurs. The focus of this article is industrial refrigeration where  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is used as a refrigerant.

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is a naturally occurring substance because it is present in the earth's atmosphere at a concentration of about 404 ppm (v/v). The other substances classified as natural refrigerants are ammonia, water, air, and hydrocarbons, all of which are naturally occurring.

Scientific study of the atmosphere has revealed that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the earth's atmosphere is a significant contributor to global warming. The important sources of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  are emissions from fossil fuel electrical power generating plants and from combustion engines powering vehicles of all types. Currently, regulations do not limit  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  quantities that may be vented from a refrigeration system.

The ozone depletion potential for all the natural refrigerants is zero. The global warming potential for these refrigerants is either zero, or very small. The global warming potential of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is 1.0 (set to this value by definition), where in contrast the CFC and HCFC refrigerants have values generally exceeding 1,000.



# <span id="page-4-0"></span>CO<sub>2</sub>'s Physical Characteristics

The physical characteristics of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  are unique. The thermodynamic vapor compression refrigeration cycle, component design, system design, and operation are constrained by the characteristics of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which are shown in Table 1. Note that the freezing point (triple point) of CO<sub>2</sub> is  $-56.6$  °C ( $-69.8$  °F), and NH<sub>3</sub>'s freezing point is  $-77.7$  °C (-107.8 °F), both in the same neighborhood.

However, the critical temperature of CO<sub>2</sub> is 31.0 °C (87.8 °F) and 132.3 °C (270.1 °F) for NH<sub>3</sub>, much less than NH<sub>3</sub>'s. While the freezing point (triple point) for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is not much different than NH $_3$ 's, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  critical temperature is significantly less than that of NH<sub>3</sub>.

R-22, an HCFC whose production is now curtailed, was once a popular refrigerant for industrial systems. Refrigerants R-507A and R-404A are an azeotrope and blend, respectively, and both are HFCs. Their high GWP makes their future as refrigerants uncertain. NH3, despite its good thermodynamic characteristics, has disadvantages including toxicity and moderate flammability. These reasons now make  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  a refrigerant worthy of consideration for many applications in industrial refrigeration systems.

*Table 1: CO<sub>2</sub> and other refrigerant physical properties.<sup>9</sup>* 



*a At 14.696 psia; b Sublimes*

Figure 1 is a plot of saturation pressure versus saturation temperature for several refrigerants including  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and NH<sub>3</sub>, which shows:

- The absolute  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  triple-point pressure 5.18 bar (75.1 psi) is above atmospheric pressure.
- $\blacksquare$  The CO<sub>2</sub> critical-point temperature is less than typical design condensing temperature.

Note that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is a low-temperature refrigerant (above atmospheric pressure at low temperatures) where in contrast,  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  is an intermediate-temperature refrigerant. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  phase diagram is shown in [Figure 2](#page-6-0) where only essential features are shown. Here the Latent heat of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is indicated by the horizontal distance between the saturated liquid and vapor lines. Comparison to R-22 reveals that the latent heat of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is considerably higher than, but not as high as,  $NH<sub>3</sub>'s$  latent heat. Also, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure-enthalpy diagram shows that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exists with high pressures and low temperatures – the top of the dome (critical point) is only  $31.0\,^{\circ}$ C (87.8 $^{\circ}$ F).



<span id="page-5-0"></span>

[Figures 3](#page-8-0) and [4](#page-9-0) show the saturated liquid and saturated vapor lines for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and NH<sub>3</sub> on p-h (pressure-enthalpy) axes, respectively. These two charts and the characteristics mentioned above result in important and practical consequences when applying  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to industrial refrigeration.

Because of CO<sub>2</sub>'s high pressures, atmospheric air and water are not likely to enter the system. And, because  $CO<sub>2</sub>'s$  suction vapor density is high, compressors are small and evaporators operate with good performance. Further, observe ([Figure 1](#page-5-0)) that the slope of the pressure-temperature curve for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  shows a much greater pressure change for the same temperature change. In other words, pressure drops in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  result in much smaller temperature drops. For example, saturated CO<sub>2</sub> vapor at  $-42.8$  °C ( $-45$  °F) with a 0.07 bar (1.0 psi) pressure drop has a corresponding 0.22°C (0.4°F) temperature drop.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>*Figure 2: Pressure-enthalpy diagram for CO2. 9*

In contrast, saturated NH<sub>3</sub> vapor at  $-42.8$  °C ( $-45$  °F) with 0.07 bar (1.0 psi) pressure drop has a corresponding  $2.0\textdegree C$  (3.6  $\textdegree F$ ) temperature drop that is larger by a factor of about 9 or 10. These consequences add together, giving  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  excellent characteristics for subcritical vapor compression refrigeration cycles.

A concern with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is the possible formation of solid  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (dry ice) in the outlet piping from a pressure safety relief valve blocking the vapor flow. Observe from [Figure 2](#page-6-0) that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  back pressures less than the triple-point absolute 5.2 bar (75.1 psi) will result in the formation of dry ice.

For relief valves connected to a compressor's discharge or protecting a liquid separator, the risk of solidification is minimized when the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  discharge is piped to an outlet header. Relief valves protecting components or vessels containing saturated liquid  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ should be located outdoors and have no outlet piping to avoid solidification.





#### HERMETIC solution for CO<sub>2</sub>



*CAM series*



*CAMh and CAMhk series with PN52 design for handling CO<sub>2</sub>* 



*CNF series*

<span id="page-8-0"></span>*Figure 3: Pressure-enthalpy diagram for CO2. 10*



#### HERMETIC solution for NH<sub>3</sub>



*CAM series*



*CNF series*

<span id="page-9-0"></span>*Figure 4: Pressure-enthalpy diagram for NH3. 10*

10≡

 $\checkmark$ 

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>CO<sub>2</sub> Vapor Compression Cycles

#### CO2 Transcritical Cycle

The basic vapor compression refrigeration cycle consists of four components where the refrigerant is conveyed to and from the components by the interconnecting piping. Figure 5 is a schematic showing these components and how they are connected to each other. [Figure 6](#page-11-0) is a p-h diagram of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  where the cycle state points are shown. The cycle shown is a transcritical vapor compression cycle because the heat rejection process occurs above  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ 's critical pressure and consists of "gas cooling" rather than ordinary condensation. Presently, this cycle is being applied to commercial refrigeration systems such as supermarkets.

The fadeout vessel shown in Figure 5 limits the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure during a shutdown. Located on the low-side of the system and oriented so liquid may drain during operation, its volume is such that as liquid flashes into vapor upon warming, the pressure increase is maintained at a modest level. Other methods include relieving the pressure by safety relief valves and subsequent recharge or by a small recondensation unit.



*Figure 5: Transcritical vapor compression refrigeration cycle components.*

For all refrigeration systems using  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , the level of water contamination must be kept low. The water that may be present could be liquid, ice, and/or hydrates (crystalline solids that appear as ice). The ice and hydrates form in the low-temperature side of the system, particularly behind expansion devices and inside receivers, pumps, and evaporators. Currently, it is recommended that the water content be maintained at a concentration of 10 ppm (v/v) or less, preventing formation of hydrates.8



<span id="page-11-0"></span>*Figure 6: p-h diagram for the transcritical compression refrigeration cycle.*

#### CO<sub>2</sub> – NH<sub>3</sub> Cascade Cycle

Industrial refrigeration systems operate with significantly larger capacities, resulting in larger component sizes for evaporators, compressors, condensers, control valves, vessels, and piping, among others. Due to the high pressures of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  when above the critical point, industrial refrigeration systems are currently designed as subcritical cycles in which the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure remains below the critical pressure where manufactured components are generally available at the working design pressure. With subcritical cycles, the heat rejection process involves the phase change from vapor to liquid, which is actual condensation. The focus of the remainder of this article is  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  subcritical vapor compression refrigeration cycles as they are applied in the field of industrial refrigeration. General references regarding  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  refrigerant in industrial refrigeration systems include the ASHRAE Handbook – Refrigeration<sup>9</sup> and the IIAR (International Institute of Ammonia Refrigeration) CO<sub>2</sub> Handbook.<sup>8</sup>

CO2 when used in subcritical vapor compression cycles appears on the low-temperature circuit of a cascade system or as a secondary coolant where the highest  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure is modest. In either situation,  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  is confined to the machinery room with a much reduced charge. A schematic of a  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$  cascade system is shown in Figure 7 where the high-temperature circuit (5-6-7-8) uses  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and the low-temperature circuit uses  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (1-2-3-4).



*Figure 7: Cascade vapor compression refrigeration system.*

A cascade heat exchanger transfers the heat from the lowtemperature circuit to the high-temperature circuit; it serves as both an evaporator and as a condenser. A favorable temperature difference in the cascade condenser is needed to transfer heat from the CO<sub>2</sub> to the NH<sub>3</sub> and is typically designed between 13.3 °C (23.9°F) and 12.2°C (21.96°F), balancing equipment and operating costs as shown in [Figure 8](#page-13-0).

The cascade heat exchanger is crucial in the operation of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  – NH<sub>3</sub> cascade system. It must prevent leakage of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to the NH3 side which, if it occurs, would likely shut down the system. Approaches to avoid shutdown of the system include: redundant heat exchangers that may be isolated and alarming systems detecting leaks before the system shuts down. The design pressure on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  side of the cascade heat exchanger is high – about absolute 41.4 bar (600 psi). Three types of heat exchangers are suitable for the cascade heat exchanger: shell-and-tube, plate-and-frame, and shell-and-plate.



<span id="page-13-0"></span>*Figure 8: Pressure-enthalpy diagram for the NH3 – CO2 cascade refrigeration system.*

Shell-and-tube: This configuration is an acceptable choice, but suffers the disadvantage of a relatively high  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  charge and large footprint. To reduce the risk of leakage in the tube and tube-sheet joints, shell-and-tube heat exchangers are available with doubletubes (concentric), providing an additional barrier to leakage.

Plate-and-frame: These heat exchangers are relatively small and need only small  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  charges. Additionally, their configuration is such that if a weld fails, the CO<sub>2</sub> will not leak into the NH<sub>3</sub>. Because of the high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  design pressure, fully welded construction is required.

Shell-and-plate: A moderately low NH<sub>3</sub> charge, small footprint, and lower cost than plate-and-frame heat exchangers characterize these units. The plate-stack (inside of which the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  condenses) is submerged in  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , so any leak that may occur will allow  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ to enter the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  side.

If a leak were to occur on the high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure side of the cascade condenser, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  would leak into the NH<sub>3</sub> and chemically react to form ammonium carbamate  $(H_2NCO_2NH_4)$ , which is corrosive to steel and appears as a white powder precipitate. If ammonium carbamate forms, it clogs the system, especially filters and valves. Therefore, detectors are used to instantly sense the presence of ammonium carbamate, closing automatic isolating valves and alerting plant personnel, so the contamination does not spread throughout the system. Fortunately, ammonium carbamate can be washed out with hot water.

Figure 9 shows the predicted performance of an ideal  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$ cascade cycle compared to that of an ideal two-stage  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  refrigeration system. "Ideal" refers to the following conditions: saturated liquid at exit of condenser, saturated vapor at exit of evaporator, no pressure losses and no heat transfer in piping, and 0°C (0°F) approach temperature for the cascade condenser. The  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  two-stage has a greater COP compared to the  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$  cascade system for evaporating temperatures varying from  $-28.9$  °C (-20 °F) to –51.1°C (–60°F) for cascade condenser temperatures –23.2°C (-10°F), -17.8°C (0°F), and -12.2°C (10°F), for 0°C (0°F) approach temperature.



*Figure 9: Variation of ideal NH<sub>3</sub> two-stage cycle COP with ideal CO<sub>2</sub> – NH<sub>3</sub> cascade cycle COP with evaporating temperature at 0°F approach temperature and different cascade temperatures.*

The influence of the cascade temperature is observed to be small. At about  $-0.6\,^{\circ}$ C (31 $^{\circ}$ F), the NH<sub>3</sub> two-stage COP is approximately 10% greater than that of the  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$  cascade and decreases to about 1% greater at  $-51.1$  °C ( $-60$  °F). Figure 10 uses realistic compressor efficiency for the NH<sub>3</sub> two-stage and  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$  cascade systems to predict COP where the cascade temperature and cascade approach temperatures are fixed at  $-6.7$  °C (20 °F) and  $-4.4$  °C (8.0°F), respectively.

Figure 10 predicts that the  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$  cascade COP overtakes the NH<sub>3</sub> two-stage at roughly  $-42.8$  °C ( $-45$  °F) evaporating temperature. Operating experience reported by Toogood11 for one  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$ cascade system used in a cold storage warehouse  $-28.9$  °C ( $-20$  °F) freezer,  $-6.7$  °C (+20 °F) cooler and dock with blast freezing  $-50$  °C (–58°F) demonstrated reduced electrical power consumption for refrigeration by 5.8% compared to a two-stage NH<sub>3</sub> system. Lynch<sup>12</sup> has subsequently reported similar results for eleven  $CO<sub>2</sub> - NH<sub>3</sub>$ cascade systems operating throughout the United States.



*Figure 10: Comparison of cycle COP for NH<sub>3</sub> two-stage with cycle COP for CO<sub>2</sub> – NH<sub>3</sub> cascade using realistic compressor efficiencies where the cascade temperature is 20 °F and the approach temperature is 8.0 °F.*

## <span id="page-16-0"></span>CO<sub>2</sub> as a Secondary Coolant

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  used as a secondary coolant in conjunction with a vapor compression system is shown schematically in Figure 11. In this instance, the entire refrigeration capacity is developed by the two-stage NH<sub>3</sub> refrigeration system, which cools the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  secondary coolant in an  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  evaporator (liquid chiller).

The two-stage  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  charge is significantly reduced because it is only needed by the evaporator, condenser, piping, and the vessels in the machinery room, except for the outside evaporative condenser. However, if the evaporative condenser were replaced with a cooling tower and heat exchanger, all the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  charge could reside in the machinery room. Specifically, Figure 11 shows a pumped recirculating liquid coolant  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  system where liquid is both supplied to the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ process heat exchanger and returned.

Alternatively, a volatile liquid  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  system is possible where  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ boils in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  process heat exchanger now serving as an evaporator, returning vapor (or mixture) back to the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  evaporator for condensing as shown in [Figure 12](#page-17-0), where the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  state points 10 and 11 reveal the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  liquid-to-vapor conversion. The advantage of the volatile





fluid is the reduced  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  charge. Boiling in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evaporator uses  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ 's latent heat of evaporation (330.3 kJ/kg [142 Btu/lb]) rather than its relatively low liquid specific heat (2.1 kJ/kg·K [0.5 Btu/lb·°F]). Thus, a volatile fluid system delivering one pound of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  provides 142 Btu (149 kJ) of refrigeration, converting to a vapor, while an entirely liquid system with a liquid temperature increase of 5.6°C (10 $\degree$ F) merely provides 11.6 kJ/kg CO<sub>2</sub>, or 5 Btu/lb CO<sub>2</sub>. Another possibility is direct expansion in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  evaporator and subsequent  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  recondensing. Combinations of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  systems described above are also possible.

Generally, lubricating oil is not present in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  passing through the evaporators. In this way, the greatest overall heat transfer coefficient is achieved, resulting in very good system performance. Oil-free reciprocating compressors are available for use with CO<sub>2</sub>. Lubricated reciprocating or screw compressors may also be used in conjunction with oil separators using both mechanical separation and coalescing elements. One caution is in order: components such as valves and controls must be able to operate oil-free. *Figure 12: p-h diagram for CO<sub>2</sub> as a volatile secondary fluid.* 



<span id="page-17-0"></span>

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>Conclusions

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is one of the five natural refrigerants and over the past 20 years has gained popularity, particularly with low-temperature refrigeration for industrial systems. When  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is used in a cascade or a secondary coolant refrigeration system, the ammonia system charge is reduced by about 80% and confined to the machinery room where the consequences of a leak or spill are decreased. However,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is not

without drawbacks, two of which are high system working pressure and limited useful operating temperature range of  $-53.9$  °C ( $-65$  °F) to 26.7°C (80°F). Manufacturers are adding high-pressure components to their offerings, supporting the application of refrigerant CO2. With continued good engineering design and industry support,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  will increase its popularity as an industrial system refrigerant.

### References

- 1. Molina, M. J., F. S. Rowland. 1974. "Stratospheric sink for chlorofluoromethanes: Chlorine-atom catalyzed destruction of ozone." Nature 249(5460): 810–812.
- 2. UNEP. 1990. "The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer–As Adjusted and Amended by the Second Meeting." United Nations Environment Programme.
- 3. UNEP. 1992. "The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer–As Adjusted and Amended by the Fourth Meeting." United Nations Environment Programme.
- 4. Lorentzen, G., 1989. "Method of Operating a Vapor Compression Cycle Under Trans- Or Supercritical Conditions." European patent 0424474B2.
- 5. Lorentzen, G., 1993. "Revival of carbon dioxide as a refrigerant." Int. J. Refrigeration 17(5): 292–301.
- 6. Green Retail Decisions. 2013. "Study Cites 125 Grocers Using Secondary, Cascade and Transcritical Refrigeration Systems in North America." http://tinyurl.com/znsrgel.
- 7. R744.com. 2015. "Lineage Capitalizing on New Opportunities For Ammonia–CO<sub>2</sub> Cascade." http://tinyurl.com/zvkdgsb.
- 8. IIAR. 2014. The CO<sub>2</sub> Handbook. Alexandria, Va: IIAR.
- 9. 2014 ASHRAE Handbook Refrigeration.
- 10. 2013 ASHRAE Handbook Fundamentals.
- 11. Toogood, C. 2011. "Cold energy management: Refrigerate and reduce." Refrigerated & Frozen Foods Magazine (9).
- 12. Lynch, M. 2015. "US cold storage's CO2 success proves skeptics wrong." Accelerate North American Edition 5(4): 24–29.

This article was published in ASHRAE Journal, August 2016. Copyright 2016 ASHRAE. Posted at www.ashrae.org. This article may not be copied and/or distributed electronically or in paper form without permission of ASHRAE. For more information about ASHRAE Journal, visit www.ashrae.org.

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>**HERMETIC** – Your Partner in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  Business for More Than 50 Years

#### Your benefits using HERMETIC pumps:

- **EXTED 25 Axial and Radial Thrust:** hydrodynamic bearings and axial thrust balancing ensure wear free operation
- **Experts in CO**: HERMETIC proven design has been in service for decades handling CO<sub>2</sub> in industrial and commercial refrigeration systems. Our references: more than 5.000  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  systems worldwide operating with our refrigeration pumps
	- Standard and high pressure design: high quality and reliable standards refrigeration pumps for a wide operating range in PN 40 design. Improved hydrodynamic bearing and high pressure design (PN 52 / test pressure: 78bar) offers outstanding durability operating under the most demanding conditions handling  $CO<sub>2</sub>$



*CAM series. Standard design PN 40*



*CAMh series. Special bearings for handling CO2 and PN 52 design*

No reverse circulation line needed





### Germany HERMETIC-Pumpen GmbH [www.hermetic-pumpen.com](https://www.hermetic-pumpen.com/en) [refrigeration@hermetic-pumpen.com](mailto:refrigeration%40hermetic-pumpen.com?subject=Carbon%20Dioxide%20for%20Industrial%20Refrigeration)

### USA HERMETIC-Pumps Inc. [www.h](https://www.hermetic-pumpen.com/en)ermeticpumps.com

Singapore HERMETIC-Pumps Singapore Pte. Ltd., [www.hermetic-pumps.sg](https://www.hermetic-pumps.sg/)



#### [YouTube](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC75V7E5NbE17IbPqnAf1oiA) | [LinkedIn](https://www.linkedin.com/authwall?trk=bf&trkInfo=AQEUC6sRY801KAAAAXoVNFiwdSgcLvjEfyXPVJJCIEzy1oMJFwyP4MqTcER1iy6lhCgjkqf-lsTuc1y9WXZZSFlc19OIf74zLkMv6xI38uL-hKMoEufbCrmyOUXFTaweNpj_Rsk=&originalReferer=&sessionRedirect=https%3A%2F%2Fde.linkedin.com%2Fcompany%2Fhermetic-pumpen-gmbh) | [Expert Tool](https://vline.hermetic-tools.de/)

Booklet CO2 / EN / 07 / 2023 All information given in this document corresponds to the state of the art at the time of print. We reserve the right to make technical improvements and changes at any time.



**Sealless Technology Unlimited**